

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,249	12/05/2001	Michael John Stephen Austin	S63.2-10014	8321	
490	7590 11/18/2002				
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			EXAMINER		
			WEBB, SARAH K		
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		_			isΛ
			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Action Summary	09/683,249	AUSTIN, MICHAEI STEPHEN	_ JOHN	
	· · · · · ·	ricaen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			Sarah K Webb	3731	
Period for	- The MAIL r Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	iress
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	MAILING D sions of time m SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply e to reply within pply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 form the mailing date of this communication. The specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) It	y a reply be timely filed i thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cole ARANDONED (35 LLS C 8 133)	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 05 L	December 2001 .		
2a) <u></u> □			nis action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	closed in	application is in condition for allowate application is in condition for allowate application with the practice under	ance except for formal i Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition 4					
		/-33 is/are pending in the application			
		above claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.		
		is/are allowed.			
		is/are objected to.			
		-33 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application		are subject to restriction and/or t	cicolori requirement.		
9)[T	he specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)[] T	he drawing	g(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant r	may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
11)∐ T	he propose	ed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)	disapproved by the Examine	r.
		d, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
		declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
		S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[_] All b) [Some * c) None of:			
		fied copies of the priority documents			
		fied copies of the priority documents			
	а	es of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bui ched detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tage
		ment is made of a claim for domestic			application).
a)	☐ The tra	nslation of the foreign language pro ment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	been received.	•••

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22,29,31,32, drawn to stent structure, classified in class 623, subclass1.16.
 - II. Claims 23-28,30,33, drawn to method of manufacturing a stent, classified in class623, subclass 901.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the stent could be made from a different process other than the claimed method of manufacturing.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elects Group I above, election of one of the following species is required:

- a. Figure 1 : claims 1-4
- b. Figure 2 : claims 5-9
- c. Figures 3-5 : claims 10-19

Application/Control Number: 09/683,249

Art Unit: 3731

d. Figures 6A and 6B: claim 22

If applicant elects Group II above, election of one of the following species is required:

- a. Claim 27, laser welding
- b. Claim 28, application of coating to stent
- c. Claim 30, heat treating the stent

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 20,21,29,31,32 are generic for Group I, and claims 23-26 and 33 are generic for Group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Jonathan Grad on November 4, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,249

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 305-7554. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Sarah K Webb Examiner Art Unit 3731

sw

November 4, 2002

Michael Milano

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3700